

Performance of the Brookhaven photocathode rf gun

K. Batchelor, I. Ben-Zvi, R.C. Fernow, J. Fischer, A.S. Fisher, J. Gallardo, G. Ingold, H.G. Kirk, K.P. Leung, R. Malone, I. Pogorelsky, T. Srinivasan-Rao, J. Rogers, T. Tsang, J. Sheehan, S. Ulc, M. Woodle, J. Xie and R.S. Zhang

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

L.Y. Lin

Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

K.T. McDonald and D.P. Russell

Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

C.M. Hung

Department of Physics, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

X.J. Wang

Department of Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA

The Brookhaven Accelerator Test Facility (ATF) uses a photocathode rf gun to provide a high-brightness electron beam intended for FEL and laser acceleration experiments. The rf gun consists of $1\frac{1}{2}$ cells driven at 2856 MHz in π -mode with a maximum cathode field of 100 MV/m. To achieve long lifetimes, our photocathode development concentrates on robust metals such as copper, yttrium and samarium. We illuminate these cathodes with a 10 ps, frequency-quadrupled Nd:YAG laser. We describe the initial operation of the gun, including measurements of transverse and longitudinal emittance, quantum efficiencies, and peak current. These results are compared to models.

1. Introduction

There are several important applications in which the brightness of electron beams is a paramount consideration. Two applications which come immediately to mind are high-luminosity linear colliders and free-electron lasers.

A common requirement for these programs is the need for electron beams combining high-peak current with very small transverse and longitudinal emittances. At the Brookhaven Accelerator Test Facility we are devoting substantial resources to the production and understanding of electron beams that have these attributes.

The ultimate aim is to provide a source of electrons with as high brightness as possible. Beam brightness is

defined as the ratio of the beam peak current to the product of the horizontal and vertical normalized rms emittances:

$$B = \frac{I_p}{\epsilon_{N,x} \epsilon_{N,y}}$$

We feel that the issues of producing high-brightness electron beams can be best addressed with an rf gun that contains a laser-illuminated photocathode. Our rf gun program is based on the pioneering work at Stanford University [1], where the first rf gun was developed, and Los Alamos [2], where a photocathode was first installed in an rf gun.

2. Description of the BNL rf gun

The Brookhaven rf gun [3] is a $1\frac{1}{2}$ cell, side-coupled structure operating at 2.856 GHz (see fig. 1). A design goal is to achieve a gain in beam brightness by minimiz-

* Work supported by DOE contract no. DE-ACO2-76-CH00016.




Fig. 1. The Brookhaven $1\frac{1}{2}$ cell gun.

ing the emittance of the electron beam. Two effects dominate to cause growth in emittance beyond the thermal emittance of the electrons at the photocathode itself. These effects are the dynamics of the interaction of the electrons with the rf fields in the gun and the emittance growth caused by the self-fields of the electrons.

Emittance growth due to the rf dynamics can be controlled by ensuring that nonlinear transverse components of the rf fields are minimized. This is accomplished by placing relatively thick disks between cells and adjusting the diameter of the apertures so as to minimize nonlinear transverse components. Note that since we use a π -mode for acceleration, the rf fields are near a minimum when the particles traverse the apertures.

The strategy used to control emittance growth due to the self-fields of the electrons is to operate the gun so that the electrons are launched in a high-gradient accelerating field. Once the electrons are relativistic, emittance growth due to space charge becomes more manageable. The BNL rf gun has been designed to operate with a 100 MV/m peak accelerating gradient at the cathode. Three design features ensure this: (1) the 2.856 GHz operating frequency; (2) the relatively low peak wall field to peak axial field ratio (1.18 to 1); and (3) an initial $\frac{1}{2}$ cell to ensure that the particles are launched near peak accelerating gradients.

The rf gun has a photocathode installed on the back plane of the half cell. At Brookhaven, we are concentrating our photocathode R&D on metals, such as yttrium, samarium and copper, because metals should provide the robustness necessary for continuous operations over long periods. Copper is particularly attractive, because it allows for the possibility of constructing a simple, all-copper cavity.

A consequence of the choice of these metals is the need for ultraviolet laser light to excite the photocathode. For copper, the 4.3 eV work function lies just below the 4.66 eV energy of frequency-quadrupled

Nd:YAG (266 nm), and so provides a small intrinsic thermal emittance. The quantum efficiency can be 10^{-4} . At the same wavelength, yttrium and samarium can have quantum efficiencies up to 10^{-3} , but the 3 eV work function can lead to a higher thermal emittance. Thus, with over 100 μ J of UV light available, we can extract over 10 nC from an yttrium or samarium cathode. Our design calls for a 1 nC micropulse with a 6 ps (FWHM) pulse length, thus yielding a peak current of 160 A. If we choose to focus onto a 3 mm radius spot on the photocathode, this corresponds to 560 A/cm² issuing from the surface, well within the capabilities of these materials [4].

3. Description of the Nd:YAG laser system

The photocathode laser system is illustrated in fig. 2, and the key parameters are listed in table 1. A Spectra Physics model 3400 CW mode-locked Nd:YAG oscillator (1064 nm) produces a pulse train with a spacing of 12.25 ns (81.6 MHz), every 35th rf period of the gun and linac. A Lightwave Electronics series 1000 timing stabilizer [5] reduces timing jitter of the laser pulses to 1 ps with respect to the rf. The 80 ps oscillator pulses are frequency chirped in 200 m of single-mode optical fiber. A pulsed, regenerative amplifier [6] then selects, amplifies, and compresses a single pulse from the train. The selection is accomplished by a Pockels cell inside the amplifier cavity. After 12 passes through the Nd:YAG rod, the pulse is extracted by a second Pockels cell. The bandwidth of the amplifier is narrower than the chirp from the fiber; consequently, only the middle of the pulse is amplified and the output is expected to be compressed to 12 ps (FWHM). Frequency quadrupling reduces the ultraviolet pulse width to 6 ps (FWHM). Up to 300 μ J of ultraviolet has been incident on the cathode, focused to spot sizes ranging from 0.2 to 1.2 mm.

This system will be extended to amplify a train of 1 to 100 pulses with a spacing of 24.5 ns. This will permit operation of the ATF FEL oscillator [7], which requires a train of electron bunches rather than a single bunch.

Table 1
Nd:YAG laser parameters

	Design	Achieved
IR energy [mJ]	10	10
UV energy [μ J]	50	300
IR pulse length (FWHM) [ps]	12	20
Pulse timing jitter [ps]	< 3	1
Repetition rate [Hz]	6	6

4. Operation of the rf gun

Operation of the rf gun with the Nd:YAG laser system began in November, 1990. We have operated for an integrated run time of ≈ 700 h with a single copper photocathode. The rf gun has been let up to air twice during this period and we find that after a subsequent bakeout, conditioning the gun to peak fields requires ≈ 8 h. During normal resumption of operations without a vacuum break, reconditioning is minimal. The vacuum in the gun is typically $\approx 10^{-9}$ Torr before rf power is introduced and goes to $\approx 10^{-8}$ Torr during operation of the gun.

Under special running conditions with a reduced laser spot size we have exceeded the damage threshold on copper (verified visually after cathode removal) but have not experienced any noticeable adverse effects during subsequent operation of the rf gun. This confirms the robustness of an rf gun with metal cathodes.

The peak momentum achieved to date is 4.6 MeV/c, with 6.2 MW of rf power in the gun. This output momentum corresponds to a peak axial electric field in the rf gun of 98 MV/m, which is nearly our design value of 100 MV/m.

The measurement of the transverse emittance of the electron beam is done at either profile monitors 1 and 2 for the momentum dispersed beam (see fig. 3) or profile monitors 3 and 4 for the momentum recombinated beam. For the momentum dispersed beam, we can only measure the vertical emittance. These results, however, more nearly represent the emittance of the beam as produced by the gun, whereas measurements

at monitors 3 and 4 include any growth in the transverse emittance due to the injection system.

The transverse emittance is analysed by one of two methods. The quadrupole variation method entails varying the strength of a quadrupole preceding an individual profile monitor and observing the corresponding variations in the spot size on that monitor. Fig. 4 shows an example of data collected in this manner.

An alternative method consists of focusing the beam on one profile monitor, measuring the resulting spot size of the focused beam, and then observing the beam spot on the companion monitor without readjusting the beam optics. The emittance is obtained by

$$\epsilon_n = \gamma \sigma_y^0 \sigma_y',$$

and

$$\sigma_y'^2 = (\sigma_y^2 - (\sigma_y^0)^2) / L_{\text{drift}}^2,$$

where the measured quantities σ_y^0 and σ_y' are respectively the focused and unfocused rms profile widths; σ_y' is the inferred divergence of the beam and L_{drift} is the known drift distance between the two profile monitor screens. Note that the roles of the two companion monitors can be reversed, thus giving two independent measurements of the emittance. The measurement also has the convenient property of being free of interpretation since knowledge of the characteristics of the quadrupoles in the beam line is not required in order to extract the emittance from the measurements.

The longitudinal structure of the electron pulse is an important beam parameter which, along with the




Fig. 2. Schematic of the ATF Nd:YAG laser systems.




Fig. 3. The ATF injection system.

charge, determines the peak current of the beam. This longitudinal profile, which is measured by means of an rf kicker cavity installed before the first dipole (see fig. 3), gives the length of the electron pulses as they leave the rf gun. This kicker operates at 2856 MHz and provides a vertical displacement proportional to time, which can be observed at either the momentum slit (located between elements Q4 and Q5) or profile mon-




Fig. 4. The vertical projections of the electron beam on a profile monitor as a function of quadrupole current.

Table 2
BNL rf gun results to data

	Design	Achieved
Energy [MeV]	4.6	4.6
Repetition rate [Hz]	6	6
Electron pulse charge [nC]	1.0	2.0
Electron pulse length, rms [ps]	2.5	4.9
Peak current [A]	160	133
Energy spread, rms [%]	0.3	0.4
Emittance ($\gamma\sigma_x\sigma_y$) [π m rad]	7×10^{-6}	4×10^{-6}
Beam brightness [A/m^2]	3×10^{12}	7×10^{12}

itor 1. The resolution of this measurement system approaches 1 ps.

Table 2 shows the results of the most recent measurements of the parameters of the electron beam from the rf gun. Both the peak current and the beam brightness are the results of measurements taken at one occasion and are not obtained using best results for the other parameters. The 2 nC electron beam was measured at the momentum slit when the copper photocathode was illuminated with 170 μ J of 266 nm laser light. This yields a collection efficiency (quantum efficiency \times beam transport efficiency) of 0.6×10^{-4} .

5. Comparison with models

Fig. 5 shows results of measuring the energy of the electrons ejected from the rf gun as a function of the input rf power. The measured shunt impedance is 50 M Ω /m (without transit time) compared to a SUPERFISH predicted value of 57 M Ω /m.

The principal modelling tool used at BNL for studies of the beam dynamics of the rf gun is PARMELA.




Fig. 5. The square of the energy boost to the electrons as a function of input power from the BNL RF gun.




Fig. 6. The exit beam momentum as a function of the launch phase relative to the rf.

We show in fig. 6 a plot of the measured electron beam momentum versus the rf phase at which the laser beam strikes the photocathode. The solid line represents the PARMELA predictions.

Our measured rms emittance of 4π mmrad is lower than the PARMELA prediction (with space charge included) by a factor of two. We find, however, that other codes such as MAGIG [8] tend to give results [9] similar to our observations.

With a streak camera, we have measured the amplified IR laser pulse length to be typically 20 ps (FWHM) which implies a UV pulse length of 10 ps (FWHM). This agrees well with our low charge beam length measurement of $\sigma_\tau = 4.9$ ps. Further measurements of a 2 nC electron beam indicate that the pulse length has increased to $\sigma_\tau = 6$ ps. PARMELA calculations give a

bunch lengthening of 25% per nC of bunch charge in this regime.

6. Conclusions

Our initial operation of the BNL rf gun has shown that our design goals for key beam parameters, including peak current and brightness, can be met with the installation of a copper photocathode. Further, we note that the use of copper provides great mechanical and chemical stability to the gun and will allow for great simplifications in future rf gun designs.

References

- [1] S.V. Benson et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A250 (1986) 39.
- [2] J.S. Fraser et al., Proc. 1987 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, Washington D.C., eds. E. Lindstrom and L.S. Taylor (1987) p. 1705.
- [3] K. Batchelor, H. Kirk, J. Sheehan, M. Woodle and K. McDonald, Proc. 1988 European Accelerator Conf. Rome, Italy, June 2-12 (1988) p. 954.
- [4] J. Fischer, T. Srinivasan-Rao and T. Tsang, Proc. Switched Power Workshop, Shelter Island, NY, October, 1988, ed. R.C. Fernow, BNL 52211 (1989) p. 44.
- [5] M.J.W. Rodwell, D.M. Bloom and K.J. Weingarten, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-25 (1989) 817.
- [6] T. Shimada, I. Bigio, R.F. Harrison and N.A. Kurnit, Conf. Presentation TUJ 39, CLEO, Baltimore, April 24-28, 1989.
- [7] K. Batchelor, I. Ben-Zvi et al., these Proceedings (13th Int. Free Electron Laser Conf., Santa Fe, USA, 1991) Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A318 (1992) 159.
- [8] G.D. Warren, L. Ludeking, J. McDonald, K. Nguyen and B. Goplen, Proc. Conf. on Computer Codes and the Linear Accelerator Community, Los Alamos National Laboratory, January 22-25, 1990, ed. Richard K. Cooper, LA-11857-C, 1990, p. 57.
- [9] I.S. Lehrman, I.A. Birnbaum et al., these Proceedings (13th Int. Free Electron Laser Conf., Santa Fe, USA, 1991) Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A318 (1992) 247.